arXiv:1403.7511v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 28 Mar 2014

# An acoustic spacetime and the Lorentz transformation in aeroacoustics

Alastair Logan Gregory[a,c], Samuel Sinayoko[b,*], Anurag Agarwal[a], Joan Lasenby[a]

[a] *Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1PZ*
[b] *Institute of Sound and Vibration Research, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ*
[c] *Magdalene College, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB3 0AG*

**Abstract**

This paper introduces acoustic space-time and Geometric Algebra as a new theoretical framework for modelling aeroacoustic phenomena. This new framework is applied to sound propagation in uniform flows. The problem is modelled by means of transformations that turn the convected wave equation into an ordinary wave equation, in either time-space coordinates or frequency-wavenumber coordinates. The transformations are shown to combine a Galilean transformation with a Lorentz transformation and geometrical and physical interpretations are provided. The Lorentzian frame is the natural frame for describing acoustic waves in uniform flow. A key feature of this frame is that it combines space and time in a way that is best described using a hyperbolic geometry. The power of this new theoretical framework is illustrated by providing simple derivations for two classical aeroacoustic problems: the free-field Green's function for the convected wave equation and the Doppler shift for a stationary observer and a source in uniform rectilinear motion in a uniform flow. This new framework will help tackle the fundamental problem of sound propagation in non-uniform flows.

*Keywords:* convected wave equation, geometric algebra, acoustic

*Author for correspondence, s.sinayoko@soton.ac.uk.
*Email addresses:* alg57@cam.ac.uk (Alastair Logan Gregory), s.sinayoko@soton.ac.uk (Samuel Sinayoko), aa406@cam.ac.uk (Anurag Agarwal), jl221@cam.ac.uk (Joan Lasenby)

## 1. Introduction

### *1.1. Sound From a Moving Source, and Sound In a Uniform Flow*

This paper is examining the classic problem of sound propagation in a uniform flow. The equivalent problem of analysing the sound from the moving source was studied in the context of electromagnetics in the early 20th century, for example see Stratton [1], who gave the resulting signal amplitude distributions relative to the source either at time of emission or reception. Lighthill later gave the sound distributions in the context of acoustics [2, §4.1]. Lighthill effectively solved for the sound field in the frame of the fluid, then used a Galilean transformation to find the solution in the frame of the observer in which flow is present [2, Eq.(16)]. Lighthill also gave some physical interpretation of the result in the fluid frame. A clear treatment of this approach is given by Dowling and Williams [3, Ch.9].

More recently, the problem has been solved by considering the convected wave equation, and using a Lorentz-type transform [4, §9.1.1]. This is useful because the non-convected wave equation is easily solved using an appropriate Green's function. This latter approach has been used to develop a wide range of aeroacoustic theories in active control [5], duct acoustics [6, 7], aerofoil broadband noise [8, 9, 10], and aerodynamic theories on thin aerofoils in compressible flows [11, 12]. The transforms used in these methods have been summarised by [13].

However, the physical interpretation of this transformation approach is not clear, with the transform itself generally simply stated in terms of similarity variables with little justification as to where the transformation originates from and how it can be generalized to other problems. For example, it is not clear how the theory of Blandeau et al [14] on trailing edge noise for rotating blades, which neglects the uniform mean flow effects, can be extended to take these effects into account; Sinayoko et al [15] therefore resorted to solving the same problem from first principles without the use of transformations.

Addressing this shortcoming, and providing an interpretation of the transformation method is the main goal of this paper. We shall see that this method differs from that of Lighthill and Dowling et al in that the solution is found not in the frame of the fluid, but in a third frame that moves with the observer *and* where the wave operator takes a simple form. The advantage over Lighthill's method is that boundary conditions that are given in the observer frame can be more easily applied in the new third frame, which we

shall refer to as the Lorentzian frame because this is the type of transform required to produce it.

### *1.2. Interpretation Through an Acoustic Space-Time*

The appearance of the Lorentz transformation in aeroacoustics suggests that there may be some deeper links between aeroacoustics and relativity. The Lorentz transformation indeed lies at the heart of special relativity [16, 17], the study of light using inertial reference frames in uniform rectilinear motion. The Lorentz transformation allows one to move from one reference frame to another one while leaving the wave equation unchanged. Although it had been used before, an elegant derivation of the Lorentz transformation was provided by Einstein from only two assumptions: that the speed of light remains constant in all reference frames, and that the wave equation satisfies the principle of relativity [18, 17].

There is a substantial body of work in physics and cosmology on the analogies between general relativity and noise propagation in fluid flows. Much of it is fundamental and originates from the seminal work of Unruh [19] who identified the analogy between black holes an noise propagation in supersonic flows. Several other analogies exist between the relativistic physics of black holes and cosmology and various engineering disciplines, including optics and electrical engineering [20]. These analogies have resulted in the creation of several analogue space-times. The use of these analogues has so far been largely restricted to physicists attempting to gain a better understanding of gravity and quantum mechanics [21, 22]. This has recently changed with the recognition that engineers can benefit from the insights and techniques developed by physicists to deepen their own understanding and develop new technologies. Applying general relativity to optics has given birth to the field of Transformation Optics [23, 24] which has led to the development of perfect lenses and electromagnetic cloaks [24] using metamaterials, and has also since stimulated similar studies on acoustic cloaks [25, 26, 27]. Relativity has also been applied successfully in electrical engineering [28, 29].

In this paper we make extensive use of an acoustic space-time that provides a geometric interpretation of the transformation approach to solving the convected wave equation. We demonstrate the geometry established by a uniform flow field and use this to explain the form of the Greens function for the convected wave equation [8], as well as the appearance of Doppler shifts [30, 31] and convective amplification.

*1.3. The Use of Geometric Algebra*

It is the use of the acoustic space-time that allows clear geometric interpretation of the transformations. In order to take advantage of this we have in addition employed Geometric Algebra (GA).

Geometric Algebra was pioneered by Hestenes [32, 33] in the 1960s; this work took the algebras of Clifford and Grassmann [34, 35] and developed them into a powerful geometric language for mathematics and physics. Since the 1960s GA has been applied to many physics and engineering applications. The key feature of GA is its ability to express physical equations in a coordinate-free form; the algebra is also extendible to any dimension. In this way GA is able to subsume complex numbers, quaternions, tensors etc. and provide simple and intuitive geometric interpretations of objects and often operators. GA has been applied successfully in electromagnetism [36, 37, 38], where the electric and magnetic fields are written as one geometric quantity and the four Maxwell's equations are then reduced to a single equation. It has also been used for analysis of conformal arrays in radar and sonar applications [39]. Given the analogies between acoustics and electromagnetism [40, 41], it might seem reasonable to suppose that aeroacoustics might also benefit from a GA approach. While GA has been used in information engineering [42] and mechanical engineering [43] there have been few attempts at applying it in fluid mechanics. This paper is the first attempt at applying the language of GA to aeroacoustics. While much of the analysis for this paper was derived in GA, the reader will only explicitly need GA for Section 5. Other GA functionality which is required is given in the Appendices.

We do however encourage the reader to proceed to this section. The purpose of this paper is to improve understanding of the transformation approach to solving the convected wave equation, and we believe that GA provides two key advantages here. The first is in the representation of Lorentz transformations, which can be shown shown very simply, without having to resort to Lie groups, to be simply rotations in a hyperbolic space. The second is that GA provides a clear explanation of why the wave operator takes on a more complex form in the observer frame, compared to the fluid and Lorentzian frames. In a more general sense, GA provides very intuitive tools for the manipulation of frames, and this after all is at the heart of this investigation.

### *1.4. A Note on Possible Further Work*

This study can be regarded as a first step towards a general understanding of the geometry of sound propagation in arbitrary flows. Such general understanding could combine the techniques presented hereafter with one of the numerous formulations for sound propagation in fluid flows, for example the linearised Euler equations [44] or the equivalent Galbrun equation [45, 46, 47]. Other alternatives include the equations of Perez Bergliaffa et al [48] for an arbitrary barotropic base flow, and the wave equations derived by Unruh [19] and Pierce [49, 50, 51] for unsteady but irrotational base flows. This, however, is beyond the scope of this paper which will focus on noise propagation in uniform flows.

The Lorentz-type transformations have only been applied to uniform flows in aeroacoustics but a more complicated transformation proposed by Taylor [52] is applicable to low Mach number homentropic flows. That transformation has been used in several computational studies [53, 54, 55] which suggests that examining these transformations is useful not only from a theoretical viewpoint but also for applications. Furthermore, more advanced transformations may be discovered using a suitable mathematical framework such as the one presented in this paper.

### *1.5. Organisation of the Paper*

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 defines the *acoustic spacetime* and introduces the observer, fluid and Lorentzian frames as well as the associated time-space coordinates and transformations. Section 3 provides the reciprocal frequency-wavenumber coordinates and transformations. These transformations are used to derive simple solutions for three classic aeroacoustic problems in Section 4: the free field Green's function for the convected wave equation in a uniform flow; the Doppler shift for a stationary observer and a source in uniform rectilinear motion in a uniform flow; noise propagation from a time varying source at a fixed point. Section 5 introduces the language of Geometric Algebra and shows how it provides new insights into the geometry underlying the transformations and the nature of the wave operator.

## 2. Transforming the convected wave equation in space-time coordinates

Let $(x, y, z)$ be cartesian coordinates in a Euclidean space, and $p(x, y, z, t)$ be a scalar function of position and time $t$ that represents the perturbation to the pressure in a fluid occupying the space. If the background pressure and density of the fluid are constant, and the fluid is stationary, the perturbation pressure must satisfy [56],

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right] p = -f, \tag{1}$$

where $f(x, y, z, t)$ is a scalar function that represents the sound sources in the fluid, and $c$ is the (constant) speed of sound in the fluid. If instead there is a uniform background flow with velocity $U$ in the the $z$ direction, then $p$ must satisfy,

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^2\right] p = -f. \tag{2}$$

We will present and interpret a transformation that allows us to solve Eq. (2) by transforming it into Eq. (1), solving that, and then transforming back. This transformation has been presented most recently in an alternative form by [13] and has been used prior to this, for example in [57, 58], however, in previous presentations, no interpretation of the transformation was given. We rectify this using the concept of a newly defined "acoustic space-time" that is analogous to the special relativistic space-time of physics, but with the speed of light replaced by the speed of sound.

### *2.1. The Acoustic Space-Time*

From this point on we shall redefine $(x, y, z, t)$ as the coordinates of a particular frame in a four dimensional space-time defined below. We will see however that $x, y, z, t$ will still represent the space and time that are measurable by us, which is why we choose to retain these symbols. Let us define a four dimensional space of mixed signature, with the basis vectors $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ that satisfy the space-time signature,

$$\gamma'_\mu \cdot \gamma'_\nu = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } \mu, \nu = t, x, y, z. \tag{3}$$

For any arbitrary frame $\{\boldsymbol{e}_\mu\}$, so long as the frame vectors are linearly independent, it is possible to construct a reciprocal frame $\{\boldsymbol{e}^\mu\}$ such that,

$$\boldsymbol{e}_\mu \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^\nu = \delta^\nu_\mu, \quad \delta^\nu_\mu = \begin{cases} 1 & \mu = \nu \\ 0 & \mu \neq \nu \end{cases}, \tag{4}$$

We use this reciprocal frame to find the coordinates of an arbitrary vector when the original frame is not orthonormal, as in this case. For our $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ frame, the reciprocal frame $\{\gamma^{\mu'}\}$ is given by,

$$\gamma^{t'} = \gamma'_t, \quad \gamma^{x'} = -\gamma'_x, \quad \gamma^{y'} = -\gamma'_y, \quad \gamma^{z'} = -\gamma'_z. \tag{5}$$

An arbitrary four vector $\xi$ can be written in the $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ frame as,

$$\xi = ct'\gamma'_t + x'\gamma'_x + y'\gamma'_y + z'\gamma'_z, \tag{6}$$

and the coordinates can be found using the reciprocal frame,

$$ct' = \xi \cdot \gamma^{t'}, \quad x' = \xi \cdot \gamma^{x'}, \quad y' = \xi \cdot \gamma^{y'}, \quad z' = \xi \cdot \gamma^{z'}. \tag{7}$$

The time coordinate has been factored into $c$ and $t'$, where $c$ is the speed of sound in the fluid being considered, and $t'$ has units of time. This rule for finding coordinates in a given frame given its reciprocal frame is true for arbitrary $\{\boldsymbol{e}_\mu\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{e}^\mu\}$(see [36] and Appendix A). The use of the prime in defining this frame is deliberate, and the reason will become clear in the next section.

*2.1.1. Galilean Transform*

Let us now define a second frame $\{\gamma_\mu\}$, using the scalar constant $M = U/c$, as,

$$\gamma_t = \gamma'_t - M\gamma'_z, \quad \gamma_x = \gamma'_x, \quad \gamma_y = \gamma'_y, \quad \gamma_z = \gamma'_z. \tag{8}$$

The reciprocal frame $\{\gamma^\mu\}$ is given by (see Eq. (A.7)),

$$\gamma^t = \gamma'_t, \quad \gamma^x = -\gamma'_x, \quad \gamma^y = -\gamma'_y, \quad \gamma^z = M\gamma'_t - \gamma'_z. \tag{9}$$

An arbitrary four vector $\xi$ can be written in the $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ frame as,

$$\xi = ct\gamma_t + x\gamma_x + y\gamma_y + z\gamma_z, \tag{10}$$

and the coordinates can be found using the reciprocal frame,

$$ct = \xi \cdot \gamma^t, \quad x = \xi \cdot \gamma^x, \quad y = \xi \cdot \gamma^y, \quad z = \xi \cdot \gamma^z. \tag{11}$$

Using these expressions for the coordinates along with Eq. (7), we can show that the following coordinate transformations hold,

$$ct = ct', \quad x = x', \quad y = y', \quad z = z' + Mct'. \tag{12}$$

From Eq. (12), if an observer is at constant $(x, y, z)$, then they are moving in the $-z$ direction with Mach number $M$ with respect to the $(x', y', z')$ coordinates. We can say that $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ is the frame of the fluid, while $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ is the frame of the observer.

Let us now define the linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ that operates on fields in the acoustic space-time we have constructed, and write it in terms of the coordinates in the $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ frame,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x'^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y'^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z'^2} - \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t'^2}. \tag{13}$$

Using the chain rule and the coordinate transformations given in Eq. (12) we can show that $\mathcal{L}$ may be written as,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{c^2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^2. \tag{14}$$

If we consider the scalar functions $p(\xi)$ and $f(\xi)$, then the equation,

$$\mathcal{L}p = -f, \tag{15}$$

is exactly equivalent to Eq. (1) if $\mathcal{L}$ is written in the $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ frame, and equivalent to Eq. (2) if $\mathcal{L}$ is written in the $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ frame. Note that $p$ and $f$ are scalar functions of position in space-time, $\xi$. This four-vector can be parameterised in terms of the coordinates of any frame we introduce, and hence $p$ (and $f$) can be thought of as $p(\xi(x, y, z, t))$, or $p(\xi(x', y', z', t'))$ (we have ommited the factor of $c$ since it is a constant).

We have found a transformation that allows us two rewrite Eq. (2) as Eq. (1), however, if a source or observer is at rest in the $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ frame (i.e. they are at a constant $x$, $y$ and $z$), they will be in motion in the $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ frame. We would like to transform to a frame where the wave operator takes the simple form in Eq. (1), but where an observer or source that is stationary in the $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ frame is still stationary.

*2.1.2. Lorentz Transform*

Let us define the frame $\{\gamma''_\mu\}$ as,

$$\gamma''_t = \frac{1}{\beta}(\gamma'_t - M\gamma'_z), \quad \gamma''_x = \gamma'_x, \quad \gamma''_y = \gamma'_y, \quad \gamma''_z = \frac{1}{\beta}(\gamma'_z - M\gamma'_t), \tag{16}$$

where $\beta$ is defined by,

$$\beta = \sqrt{1 - M^2}. \tag{17}$$

Note that it is simple to show that the $\{\gamma''_\mu\}$ frame satisfies the space-time signature (Eq. (3)). The reciprocal frame $\{\gamma^{\mu''}\}$ is given by (see Eq. (A.7)),

$$\gamma^{t''} = \gamma''_t, \quad \gamma^{x''} = -\gamma''_x, \quad \gamma^{y''} = -\gamma''_y, \quad \gamma^{z''} = -\gamma''_z. \tag{18}$$

An arbitrary four vector $\xi$ can be written in the $\{\gamma''_\mu\}$ frame as,

$$\xi = ct''\gamma''_t + x''\gamma''_x + y''\gamma''_y + z''\gamma''_z, \tag{19}$$

and the coordinates can be found using the reciprocal frame,

$$ct'' = \xi \cdot \gamma^{t''}, \quad x'' = \xi \cdot \gamma^{x''}, \quad y'' = \xi \cdot \gamma^{y''}, \quad z'' = \xi \cdot \gamma^{z''}. \tag{20}$$

Using these expressions for the coordinates along with Eq. (7) and (11) we can derive the coordinate relations,

$$ct'' = \frac{1}{\beta}(ct' + Mz'), \quad x'' = x', \quad y'' = y', \quad z'' = \frac{1}{\beta}(z' + Mct'), \tag{21}$$

$$ct'' = \beta ct + \frac{M}{\beta}z, \quad x'' = x, \quad y'' = y, \quad z'' = \frac{z}{\beta}. \tag{22}$$

Using the chain rule along with these relations, we can show that,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x''^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y''^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z''^2} - \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t''^2}. \tag{23}$$

We note from the relations in Eq. (22) that if a source or observer is at constant $x, y, z$ they will also be at constant $x'', y'', z''$.

Let us now consider these transformations more carefully. Since $x$ and $y$ are unaffected, we can illustrate the transformation by considering only the $z$ and time directions (Figure 1).

Conceptually we can think of our method as beginning by creating a frame that moves with the fluid. This frame is the $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ frame, and satisfies




Figure 1: The effect of the Galilean and Lorentz transforms on the basis vectors.

the space-time signature (Eq. (3)). This is why in Figure 1 this is the frame shown with its basis vectors orthogonal. The speed of sound is fundamental to this frame.

From this frame we then create two new frames, using either a Galilean or a Lorentz transform. To create the space with the Galilean transform we skew the time vector in the direction of the flow (this is the red frame in Figure 1), and leave the spatial vectors unchanged. The coordinates of this frame represent the coordinates that we observe if we sit still and see fluid flowing past us. In this frame the complex wave operator given in Eq. (14) applies.

The frame created using the Lorentz transform ($\{\gamma''_\mu\}$) has its time vector pointing in the same direction as that of the observer frame, but this frame must satisfy the space-time signature. Hence the time vector is stretched and the spatial vector must change (this is the green frame in Figure 1). It must be remebered when looking at Figure 1 that we are considering a hyperbolic space, so $\gamma''_t$ and $\gamma''_z$ *are* orthogonal. In this frame the simple wave operator applies, and we also have the important property that if an observer is at constant $x, y, z$ they are also at constant $x'', y'', z''$. This allows the conversion of boundary conditions from the $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ frame to the $\{\gamma''_\mu\}$ frame.

*2.2. Visualising the source and observer positions on a plane*

It is convenient to visualize the position of the source and the observer on a familiar Euclidean plane. This section details how this can be achieved. It also relates the terminology introduced in this paper with the widely used emission (or retarded) and reception coordinates.

To simplify the analysis this section will use polar coordinates. In the observer frame,

$$r \equiv \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \qquad \theta \equiv \tan^{-1}(z/r), \qquad \xi = ct\gamma_t + r\gamma_r + z\gamma_z, \tag{24}$$

where $\gamma_r \equiv \cos\theta\gamma_x + \sin\theta\gamma_y$. The observer spatial location can be pictured in the $(\gamma_z, \gamma_r)$ plane. We similarly define the polar coordinates $(r', \theta')$ and $(r'', \theta'')$ in the fluid and Lorentzian frames respectively.

Unfortunately, the plane $(\gamma''_z, \gamma''_r)$ is different from the plane $(\gamma'_z, \gamma'_r)$ (which is the same as the $(\gamma_z, \gamma_r)$ plane): the spatial coordinates in the Lorentzian frame lives in a separate plane from that of the observer and fluid frames. To get around this difficulty, we simply map all the polar coordinates to the same Euclidian 2D plane equipped with an orthonormal frame $\{\boldsymbol{e}_z, \boldsymbol{e}_r\}$: the $\gamma_z$, $\gamma'_z$ and $\gamma''_z$ all map to $\boldsymbol{e}_z$, while $\gamma_r$, $\gamma'_r$ and $\gamma''_r$ all map to $\boldsymbol{e}_r$. Using this procedure, the observer position is associated the following Euclidian vectors:

$$\boldsymbol{x} \equiv z\boldsymbol{e}_z + r\boldsymbol{e}_r \tag{25}$$

$$\boldsymbol{x}' \equiv z'\boldsymbol{e}_z + r'\boldsymbol{e}'_r = (z - Mct)\boldsymbol{e}_z + r\boldsymbol{e}_r, \tag{26}$$

$$\boldsymbol{x}'' \equiv z''\boldsymbol{e}_z + r''\boldsymbol{e}''_r = (z/\beta)\boldsymbol{e}_z + r\boldsymbol{e}_r, \tag{27}$$

where we have expressed all the coordinates in terms of $r$, $z$ and $t$ from Eq. (12) and (22).

Similarly, we define Euclidian source vectors as,

$$\boldsymbol{x}_s \equiv z_s\boldsymbol{e}_z + r_s\boldsymbol{e}_r, \tag{28}$$

$$\boldsymbol{x}'_s \equiv z'_s\boldsymbol{e}_z + r'_s\boldsymbol{e}_r = (z_s - Mc\tau)\boldsymbol{e}_z + r_s\boldsymbol{e}_r, \tag{29}$$

$$\boldsymbol{x}''_s \equiv z''_s\boldsymbol{e}_z + r''_s\boldsymbol{e}_r = (z_s/\beta)\boldsymbol{e}_z + r_s\boldsymbol{e}_r. \tag{30}$$

The source and observer Euclidian vectors are represented on Figure 2. The figure helps visualize the relationships between the source and observer positions in the familiar Euclidian plane, as well as the role of the emission time $\tau$, reception time $t$ and Mach number $M$.

If we add the point $\boldsymbol{x}_e$ along the $z$-axis to form the parallelogram between $\boldsymbol{x}'_s$, $\boldsymbol{x}'$, $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_e$ (Figure 3), we have,

$$\boldsymbol{x}_e \equiv \boldsymbol{x}'_s + Mct\boldsymbol{e}_z = \boldsymbol{x}_s + Mc(t-\tau)\boldsymbol{e}_z = \boldsymbol{x}_s + MR'\boldsymbol{e}_z, \tag{31}$$

which corresponds to the widely used "emission" (or retarded) source position [59]. The emission source position is sometimes called the virtual source position or the convected source position [15], since it can be obtained by convecting the source $\boldsymbol{x}_s$ with the flow between emission time and reception time. It is also common to define the "reception" source position [59], which corresponds to the source position in the observer frame, i.e. $\boldsymbol{x}_s$.

The emission position is used to define *emission coordinates* $(R_e, \theta_e)$ (Figure 3), and by construction we immediately see that $R_e \equiv |\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_e| = R'$ and $\theta_e = \theta'$. The emission position $\boldsymbol{x}_e$ is typically regarded as the source position in the fluid frame. We now see that this is not the case, since the source position in the fluid frame is given by $\boldsymbol{x}'_s$. Furthermore, Figure 3 is typically used to derive $R_e$, but this requires solving a quadratic equation. In contrast, we have derived Eq. (54) in this paper using only hyperbolic trigonometry and linear equations. This is a good example of how acoustic space-time provides both physical insight and more powerful algebraic tools.




Figure 2: The source and observer locations can be represented in the same plane as $\boldsymbol{x}_s$ and $\boldsymbol{x}$ in the observer frame, $\boldsymbol{x}'_s$ and $\boldsymbol{x}'$ in the fluid frame, and $\boldsymbol{x}''_s$ and $\boldsymbol{x}''$ in the Lorentzian frame. The flow is uniform and from left to right.

Another example is that it is common to introduce [8, 60] the amplitude radius $S = \beta R''$. However there is no clear physical interpretation for the amplitude radius in terms of the source and observer positions. We believe that $S$ is simply another way of designating $R''$, which does have a clear physical interpretation as the distance between the source and the observer in the Lorentzian frame. We have therefore refrained from introducing $S$ in this paper. Figure 2 can also be used to derive relationships between the




Figure 3: The emission coordinates $(R_e, \theta_e)$, measured from the emission source position $\boldsymbol{x}_e$ (also called "retarded" or "convected" source position), correspond physically to the coordinates $(R', \theta')$ measured from the source position $\boldsymbol{x}'_s$ in the fluid frame. Indeed, the points $\boldsymbol{x}'_s$ (source in fluid frame), $\boldsymbol{x}_s$ (source position in observer frame), $\boldsymbol{x}$ (observer in observer frame) and $\boldsymbol{x}'$ (observer in fluid frame) form a parallelogram.

spherical coordinates $(R, \theta)$, $(R', \theta')$ and $(R'', \theta'')$. These relationships are provided in Appendix B.

## 3. Transforming the convected wave equation in the frequency-wavenumber domain

The ordinary wave equation takes the form of the Helmholtz equation in the frequency-wavenumber domain:

$$\left[\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - k^2\right] P(\boldsymbol{k}, \omega) = F(\boldsymbol{k}, \omega), \tag{32}$$

where $P$ is the Fourier transform of $p$, defined as,

$$P(\boldsymbol{k}, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p(\boldsymbol{x}, t) e^{i(\omega t - \boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x})} d^3\boldsymbol{x} dt \tag{33}$$

and $F$ is the Fourier transform of $f$. Equation (32) can be obtained by taking the Fourier transform of Eq. (1).

Similarly, the convected Helmholtz equation can be obtained by taking the Fourier transform of Eq. (2), which yields

$$\left[\left(\frac{\omega}{c} - Mk_z\right)^2 - k^2\right] P(\boldsymbol{k}, \omega) = F(\boldsymbol{k}, \omega). \tag{34}$$

The convected Helmholtz equation can be converted into the ordinary Helmholtz equation by using an appropriate transformation. The main difference with the physical domain transformations is that, given a reference frame $\{\gamma_\mu\}$, the wavenumbers $k_x$, $k_y$ and $k_z$ and the frequency $\omega$ are the components of a 4-wavevector $\kappa$ defined in the reciprocal frame $\{\gamma^\mu\}$ as,

$$\kappa \equiv \frac{\omega}{c}\gamma^t - k_x\gamma^x - k_y\gamma^y - k_z\gamma^z, \tag{35}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\omega/c \equiv \kappa \cdot \gamma_t, \qquad k_x \equiv -\kappa \cdot \gamma_x, \qquad k_y \equiv -\kappa \cdot \gamma_y, \qquad k_z \equiv -\kappa \cdot \gamma_z. \tag{36}$$

This definition of $\kappa$ is such that the phase $\phi \equiv \kappa \cdot \xi$ takes the usual form,

$$\phi = \omega t - k_x x - k_y y - k_z z, \tag{37}$$

irrespective of the reference frame.

Since we have already defined the fluid frame $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ and the Lorentzian frame $\{\gamma''_\mu\}$, we can readily obtain the wavevector coordinate transformations by applying Eq. (36) to the appropriate frame, which yields,

$$\omega' = \omega + Mck_z, \qquad k'_z = k_z, \qquad k'_x = k_x \qquad k'_y = k_y. \tag{38}$$

for the fluid frame, and,

$$\omega'' = \frac{1}{\beta}(\omega' - Mck'_z) = \frac{\omega}{\beta}, \tag{39}$$

$$k''_z = \frac{1}{\beta}\left(k'_z - M\frac{\omega'}{c}\right) = \beta k_z - \frac{M}{\beta}\frac{\omega}{c}, \tag{40}$$

$$k''_x = k'_x = k_x, \tag{41}$$

$$k''_y = k'_y = k_y. \tag{42}$$

for the Lorentzian frame.

Thus, from Eqs. (38), the frequency depends on the axial wavenumber in the fluid frame ($\omega' = \omega + Mck'_z$), while the wavenumber components remain the same in the observer and the fluid frames ($k_z = k'_z$, $k_x = k'_x$ and $k_y = k'_y$). This behaviour is the reverse of the way the physical coordinates transform between the observer and the fluid frames: time remains the same ($t = t'$) while the axial coordinate changes ($z' = z - Mct'$).

Similarly, in the Lorentzian frame, the frequency $\omega''$ is fixed relative to the observer frequency $\omega$ while the axial wavenumber $k''_z$ is a linear combination of $k_z$ and $\omega$. In contrast, in the space-time domain, it is the axial Lorentzian position $z''$ that is fixed relative to the axial observer observer position $z$ ($z'' = z/\beta$) while the Lorentzian time $t''$ is a linear combination of $z$ and $t$.

## 4. Applications

*4.1. Sound Propogation in Acoustic Space-Time Along Null Directions*

Let us imagine a point marker on a wave front moving at the speed of sound $c$ relative to the fluid, and in any spatial direction. Written using the coordinates of the frame that moves with the fluid $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$, the marker's motion will be described by,

$$\sqrt{(x'-x'_s)^2+(y'-y'_s)^2+(z'-z'_s)^2} = c(t'-\tau'), \tag{43}$$

if at time $\tau'$ the point is at the position defined by $x'_s, y'_s, z'_s$. It is important to note that the time of the frame that moves with the fluid is the same as the time of the frame of the observer (see Eq. (12)). The coordinates $x', y', z', t'$ define the marker's progressive position in acoustic space-time, and $x'_s, y'_s, z'_s, \tau'$ define the starting position of the marker. Therefore we can define the 4-vectors $\xi$ and $\eta$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= ct'\gamma'_t + x'\gamma'_x + y'\gamma'_y + z'\gamma'_z, \\ \eta &= c\tau'\gamma'_t + x'_s\gamma'_x + y'_s\gamma'_y + z'_s\gamma'_z. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Once again, $\eta$ is the single position in space-time at which the marker on the wavefront starts, and $\xi$ is the collection of points at which the marker can end up if it moves at the speed of sound in any of the spatial directions.

We now consider the vector $\xi - \eta$, which points from the marker on the wavefront's starting position to its current position, or in other words the vector tangent to the marker's motion (this is analagous to a ray [61]). If we take the dot product of this vector with itself we obtain (using Eq. (3)),

$$(\xi-\eta)^2 = c^2(t'-\tau')^2 - (x'-x'_s)^2 - (y'-y'_s)^2 - (z'-z'_s)^2. \tag{45}$$

Invoking Eq. (43) we see that,

$$(\xi-\eta)^2 = 0. \tag{46}$$

It is important to note at this point that we are *not* dealing with a Euclidean space, and so this does not imply that $\xi - \eta$ is a point. Instead it implies that $\xi - \eta$ is one of a set of vectors referred to as null vectors.

To conclude, if a point on a wavefront is moving relative to the fluid at the speed of sound, then the vector tangent to its motion in our space time is a null vector, this is in fact independant of frame. This is an important property of the acoustic space-time interpretation, and we shall see it again in the following sections.

*4.2. Green's function for the convected wave equation*

The Green's function for the convected wave equation satisfies,

$$\mathcal{L}G(\xi,\eta) = -\delta(\xi-\eta), \tag{47}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is given by Eq. (14), $\delta$ is a Dirac delta distribution, $\xi$ is a 4-vector giving the position of the observer in acoustic space-time, and $\eta$ a 4-vector giving the position of the source. We express these two 4-vectors in the observer frame $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ and the Lorentzian frame $\{\gamma_\mu\}''$ as,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= ct\gamma_t + x\gamma_x + y\gamma_y + z\gamma_z = ct''\gamma_t'' + x''\gamma_x'' + y''\gamma_y'' + z''\gamma_z'',\\ \eta &= c\tau\gamma_t + x_s\gamma_x + y_s\gamma_y + z_s\gamma_z = c\tau''\gamma_t'' + x_s''\gamma_x'' + y_s''\gamma_y'' + z_s''\gamma_z''.\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The relations between the double prime and no prime coordinates are given in Section 2.1.2. If we write $\mathcal{L}$ in the $\{\gamma_\mu''\}$ frame (Eq. (23)), Eq (47) reduces to the ordinary wave equation for which the Green's funciton is well known and is given by [62, Ch.11.3],

$$G(\xi,\eta) = \frac{\delta\left(t''-\tau''-R''/c\right)}{4\pi R''}, \tag{49}$$

where $R'' \equiv \sqrt{(x''-x_s'')^2+(y''-y_s'')^2+(z''-z_s'')^2}$. Looking carefully at the argument of the delta function, and recalling that the $\{\gamma_\mu''\}$ frame must satisfy the space-time signature (Eq. (3)), we see that in order for $G$ to be non-zero, we must have,

$$(\xi-\eta)^2 = 0. \tag{50}$$

Hence we see that the impluse is spreading out along the null directions of our acoustic space-time, as was discussed in Section 4.1. We can now express $G$ in the observer-frame from Eq (22), which yields,

$$\begin{aligned}R'' &= \sqrt{(x-x_s)^2+(y-y_s)^2+(z-z_s)^2/\beta^2},\\ t''-\tau'' &= \beta(t-\tau)+\frac{M}{\beta c}(z-z_s).\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Substituting these results into Eq. (49) for $G$, we obtain an expression for $G$ in the observer coordinates. We can use this to obtain solution to Eq. (2) for a general forcing $f(x,y,z,t)$,

$$p = \int_{x_s}\int_{y_s}\int_{z_s} \frac{f\left(x_s,y_s,z_s,t+\frac{M}{\beta^2 c}(z-z_s)-\frac{R''}{c}\right)}{4\pi\beta R''}\,dz_s dy_s dx_s, \tag{52}$$

where we have used $R''$ to compress the notation, but we must remember to evaluate it using Eq. (51). This is in agreement with [13], and we make use of this result in Section 4.4. However, it is more informative to express $G$ in the fluid frame. Let us start by defining $R'$ as,

$$R' \equiv \sqrt{(x' - x'_s)^2 + (y' - y'_s)^2 + (z' - z'_s)^2}, \tag{53}$$

so it represents the distance between the source and the observer in the fluid frame (note that the observer and source are moving in this frame). Using Eq. (50), and recalling that $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ satisfies the space-time signature, we see that when $G$ is non-zero $R' = c(t' - \tau')$.

Since we only need to evaluate $G$ when the argument of the $\delta$ function is zero, using Eq. (21), we can make the substitutions,

$$c(t'' - \tau'') = \beta c(t' - \tau') + \frac{M}{\beta}(z' - z'_s), \tag{54}$$

$$R'' = \beta R' + \frac{M}{\beta}(z' - z'_s). \tag{55}$$

Substituting these results into Eq. (49), we obtain,

$$G(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\delta\left(t' - \tau' - R'/c\right)}{4\pi\beta R''} \tag{56}$$

This corresponds to the classical form of the Green's function for the convected wave equation [8]. We can simplify it further by expressing $R''$ in fluid coordinates [60]. From Eq. (B.4) of Section 2.2, $\beta R'' = R'(1 + M\cos\theta')$, where $\theta'$ is the angle from the flow to the vector $\xi - \eta$ in the fluid frame, so

$$G(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{1 + M\cos\theta'} \frac{\delta\left(t' - \tau' - R'/c\right)}{4\pi R'}. \tag{57}$$

Thus, the Green's function in a uniform flow is identical to that in a quiescent medium when expressed in the fluid frame, apart from a convective amplification factor $1/(1 + M\cos\theta')$. Sound is amplified when the observer is upstream of the source and it is reduced when the observer is downstream of the source.

*4.3. Doppler shift*

Consider an acoustic wave propogating in a fluid, relative to which a source and observer are moving in uniform, rectilinear (but different) motion. The terms "source" and "observer" are used to enable us to differentiate between the two, but we could equally think of them as two different observers.




Figure 4: Consider a sound wave travelling from source position $\boldsymbol{x}'_S(\tau)$ at emission time to observer position $\boldsymbol{x}'_O(t)$ at reception time in the fluid frame (the flow Mach number is 0). The source and observer are moving uniformly at Mach $\boldsymbol{M_{SF}}$ and $\boldsymbol{M_{OF}}$ respectively. The wavenumber vector $\boldsymbol{k}'$ can be expressed as $(\omega'/c)\boldsymbol{n}'$, where $\omega'$ is the wave frequency in the fluid frame and $\boldsymbol{n}'$ the unit vector from the source to the observer in the fluid frame.

In the Euclidian fluid frame, let $\boldsymbol{x}'_O$ denote the observer position, $\boldsymbol{x}'_S$ the source position, $\boldsymbol{M_{OF}}$ the Mach number vector of the observer relative to the fluid and $\boldsymbol{M_{SF}}$ the source Mach number vector. The problem is illustrated in Figure 4. We seek the Doppler shift between the observer frequency $\omega_O$ and the source frequency $\omega_S$.

From Eq. (39), the relationship between the frequency in the observer frame $\omega_O$ and the frequency in the fluid frame $\omega'_F$ can be expressed in vector form as,

$$\omega_O = (\omega'_F + c\boldsymbol{M_{OF}} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}'), \tag{58}$$

where $\boldsymbol{k}'$ is the wavenumber vector in Euclidian space. Using the dispersion relation in the fluid frame, $|\boldsymbol{k}'| = \omega'_F/c$ Eq. (58) becomes,

$$\omega_O = \omega'_F(1 + \boldsymbol{M_{OF}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}'), \tag{59}$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}' = \boldsymbol{k}'/|\boldsymbol{k}'|$ is the normalized wavenumber vector in the fluid frame; $\boldsymbol{n}'$ is the unit vector from the source position at emission time to the observer position at reception time in the fluid frame, as illustrated in Figure 4.

Similarly, we can readily express the frequency in the source frame $\omega_S$ as,

$$\omega_S = \omega'_F(1 + \boldsymbol{M_{SF}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}'). \tag{60}$$

Taking the ratio of Eq. (59) and (60) yields,

$$\frac{\omega_O}{\omega_S} = \frac{1 + \boldsymbol{M_{OF}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}'}{1 + \boldsymbol{M_{SF}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}'},$$

This is the classical result for the Doppler shift for a source moving relative to a stationary observer embedded in a uniform flow [15, 63]. It is interesting to note that we have not used any partial derivative nor the concept of instantaneous frequency [4] to arrive at this result.

*4.4. Source at a Fixed Point in Space*

Suppose that $f(\xi) = \delta(x)\delta(y)\delta(z)q(t)$ where $q$ is an arbitrary function of time. Then $p$ will be given by,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\xi) &= \int_{x_s}\int_{y_s}\int_{z_s} \frac{\delta(x_s)\delta(y_s)\delta(z_s)q\left(t+\frac{M}{\beta^2 c}(z-z_s)-\frac{R''}{c}\right)}{4\pi\beta R''}\, dz_s dy_s dx_s \\ &= \frac{q\left(t+\frac{Mz}{\beta^2 c}-\frac{\sqrt{\beta^2x^2+\beta^2y^2+z^2}}{\beta^2 c}\right)}{4\pi\sqrt{\beta^2x^2+\beta^2y^2+z^2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

If $q(t) = 1$, then we obtain the time invariant expression,

$$p = \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{\beta^2x^2+\beta^2y^2+z^2}}. \tag{62}$$

We can gain intuition for this solution if we recognise that due to its time independence, we are effectively solving for $p$ in,

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}+\beta^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right]p = -\delta(x)\delta(y)\delta(z). \tag{63}$$

We can rearrange this to obtain,

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (z/\beta)^2}\right]\beta p = -\delta(x)\delta(y)\delta(z/\beta), \tag{64}$$

and hence we see that this special case can be solved using the Green's function of the Laplace equation [62, Ch.10.3]. Doing this we obtain,

$$\beta p = \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{x^2+y^2+(z/\beta)^2}}, \tag{65}$$

which is in agreement with Eq. (62) and so we have a confirmation of our result.

## 5. Geometric Algebra

*5.1. Introduction*

Geometric Algebra hinges around the introduction of a new "geometric product" that allows us to multiply vectors and, in general, multivectors. A geometric product is one that is associative, distributive over addition, and such that $\xi^2 \in \mathbb{R}$. These three properties provide a powerful algebraic structure to a space equipped with the geometric product. For example, it becomes possible to invert vectors and therefore to solve numerous equations analytically. This avoids the need to introduce coordinates and to re-write the equations in terms of matrices or tensors, which are more cumbersome and obscure the geometric interpretation.

The geometric product of vectors can be used to define an inner and outer product (denoted by $\cdot$ and $\wedge$) by taking the symmetric and antisymmetric part respectively. Multivectors are obtained by multiplying multiple vectors using the outer product. For example, multiplying two vectors generates a bivector, which corresponds to a directed plane element. Similarly, multiplying three vectors yields a trivector, a directed volume element. This generalizes to arbitrary (integer) dimensions.

The language of GA is sufficiently powerful to model most physical phenomena from quantum mechanics to general relativity [36, 32] and is regarded as a universal mathematical language for physics and engineering [64]. It has been applied to electromagnetics [38], to solid mechanics [43], and to fluid mechanics [65].

From this point on, if two vectors appear adjacent to each other, a geometric product is implied. For a thorough introduction to the basics of the algebra see [36, Ch.4], for information regarding space-time see [36, Ch.5], and for information regarding calculus in this new algebra see [36, Ch.6].

*5.2. The Lorentz Transform*

Lorentz transforms have a very neat interpretation in geometric algebra, which will now be illustrated using the above example. We repeat the definitions of the Lorentzian frame $\{\gamma''_\mu\}$,

$$\gamma''_x = \gamma'_x, \quad \gamma''_y = \gamma'_y, \quad \gamma''_z = \frac{1}{\beta}\left(\gamma'_z - M\gamma'_t\right), \quad \gamma''_t = \frac{1}{\beta}\left(\gamma'_t - M\gamma'_z\right). \tag{66}$$

We now introduce the 'hyperbolic angle' $\alpha$,

$$\tanh\alpha \equiv M, \tag{67}$$

so that $\cosh\alpha = 1/\sqrt{1-\tanh^2\alpha} = 1/\beta$.

The $\gamma''_t$ vector now becomes,

$$\gamma''_t = \cosh\alpha\gamma'_t - \sinh\alpha\gamma'_z = (\cosh\alpha - \sinh\alpha\gamma'_z\gamma'_t)\,\gamma'_t = \exp\left(-\alpha\gamma'_z\gamma'_t\right)\gamma'_t, \tag{68}$$

where the final equality follows from the power series expansions of exp, sinh and cosh. Similarly, the $\gamma''_z$ can be expressed as,

$$\gamma''_z = \cosh\alpha\gamma'_z - \sinh\alpha\gamma'_t = \exp\left(-\alpha\gamma'_z\gamma'_t\right)\gamma'_z. \tag{69}$$

There is a very compact way of expressing rotations in geometric algebra by using *rotors*:

$$\gamma''_t = R\gamma'_t\tilde{R}, \quad \gamma''_z = R\gamma'_z\tilde{R}, \tag{70}$$

where we have introduced the rotor,

$$R = \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\gamma'_z\gamma'_t}{2}\right), \quad \tilde{R} = \exp\left(\frac{\alpha\gamma'_z\gamma'_t}{2}\right), \tag{71}$$

where the over tilde denotes reversion [36]. We note that $R\tilde{R} = 1$, and so we can write,

$$\gamma''_x = \gamma'_x = R\tilde{R}\gamma'_x = R\gamma'_x\tilde{R}, \tag{72}$$

since $\gamma'_x$ commutes with $\gamma'_z\gamma'_t$. The same can be said for $\gamma''_y$, and so we are able to write the whole Lorentz transformation as,

$$\gamma''_\mu = R\gamma'_\mu\tilde{R}, \quad R = \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha\gamma'_z\gamma'_t}{2}\right). \tag{73}$$

The rotor $R$ is a general way of enconding transformations that preserve lengths and angles, as defined by the inner product. If a rotor is constructed in a Euclidean space, it encodes a rotation (hence the name), but since our space is hyperbolic, the example above is a Lorentz transformation.

If our space was the space-time used in relativity (i.e. if $c$ was the speed of light), the Lorentz transformation would represent the transformation between the two frames constructed by two observers, with the $\{\gamma''_\mu\}$ observer travelling at speed $Mc$ backwards along the $z$ axis of the $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ observer.

If we interpret this back in our acoustic space-time, we might say that the Lorentz transformation moves us from the fluid frame, back to a stationary frame (moving with the observer), but ensuring that our stationary frame still satisfies the space-time signature, which our original stationary frame $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ did not.

*5.3. Geometric interpretation of the coordinate transformations*

Equations (68) and (69) provide a simple geometric interpretation of the transformation: the Lorentzian frame $\{\gamma''_\mu\}$ can be obtained from the fluid frame $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ by means of a hyperbolic rotation of angle $\alpha$. This is illustrated in Figure 5. Using the rules of hyperbolic trigonometry, it is possible to recover the coordinate transformations of Eqs. (12), (21) and (22) directly from Figure 5.




Figure 5: Geometric interpretation of the transformations between the fluid frame $\{\gamma'_\mu\}$ and the Lorentzian frame $\{\gamma''_\mu\}$: (a) transformations from fluid coordinates $(ct', z')$ to Lorentzian coordinates $(ct'', z'')$; (b) transformations from Lorentzian coordinates $(ct'', z'')$ to fluid coordinates $(ct', z')$ and observer coordinates $(ct, z)$. The geometry of the fluid frame is Euclidian but the geometry of the Lorentzian frame is hyperbolic. In particular the angle $\alpha = \tanh^{-1} M$ is a hyperbolic angle. These two figures can be used to recover in a geometrical way the coordinate transformations between the observer frame, the fluid frame and the Lorentzian frame (see equations (76), (76) and (76)).

For example using the hyperbolic right angle triangles illustrated in Fig-

ure 5, we have

$$ct'' = ct' \cosh\alpha + z' \sinh\alpha = (1/\beta)(ct' + Mz') \tag{74}$$
$$z'' = z' \cosh\alpha + ct' \sinh\alpha = (1/\beta)(z' + Mct'), \tag{75}$$

which corresponds to Eq. (21). Note that the right angle triangles look different from the usual Euclidian ones: the hypotenuse is shorter than the two other sides of the triangle, which is typical of hyperbolic geometry.

Similarly, one can invert the above transformations by projecting the Lorentzian coordinates onto the fluid frame, as illustrated in Figure 5:

$$ct' = ct'' \cosh\alpha - z'' \sinh\alpha = (1/\beta)(ct'' - Mz'') \tag{76}$$
$$z' = z'' \cosh\alpha - ct'' \sinh\alpha = (1/\beta)(z'' - Mct''). \tag{77}$$

Using the same figure, one can readily express the Lorentzian coordinates in terms of the observer coordinates:

$$z'' = z \cosh\alpha = z/\beta, \tag{78}$$
$$ct' = (ct/\beta)\cosh\alpha = ct, \quad \text{so from Eq. (76)} \quad ct'' = \beta ct + Mz/\beta \tag{79}$$

which corresponds to Eq. (22).

Thus, the Lorentzian frame in which the wave equation retains its simplest form obeys the laws of hyperbolic geometry. This does not appear to have been fully appreciated in the aeroacoustics literature and may simplify future analyses.

*5.4. The propagation operator $\mathcal{L}$ and the Laplacian in acoustic space-time*

If we have an arbitrary frame $\{e_i\}$ $i = 1, \ldots, n$ with reciprocal frame $\{e^i\}$, an arbitrary vector $\xi$ may be written,

$$\xi = x^i e_i \text{ where } x^i = \xi \cdot e^i, \tag{80}$$

where the Einstein summation convention for repeated indices has been employed. For an arbitrary field $F(\xi)$, the vector derivative $\nabla$ is defined such that, for any $a$,

$$a \cdot \nabla F(\xi) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{F(\xi + \epsilon a) - F(\xi)}{\epsilon}, \tag{81}$$

where we assume that the limit exists and is well defined. Note that $F$ can in general be any multivector field. It can be shown that the vector derivative can be written,

$$\nabla = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^i \partial_{x^i}. \tag{82}$$

This defines the shorthand $\partial_x$ for a partial derivative with respect to $x$. Note that $\nabla$ is frame independent.

Now let us consider the operator $\nabla \cdot \nabla$ in the acoustic space-time frames defined above. Using the definition in Eq. (82) nabla is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla &= \gamma^t \partial_{ct} + \gamma^x \partial_x + \gamma^y \partial_y + \gamma^z \partial_z \\ &= \gamma^{t'} \partial_{ct'} + \gamma^{x'} \partial_{x'} + \gamma^{y'} \partial_{y'} + \gamma^{z'} \partial_{z'} \\ &= \gamma^{t''} \partial_{ct''} + \gamma^{x''} \partial_{x''} + \gamma^{y''} \partial_{y''} + \gamma^{z''} \partial_{z''}. \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

In all the frames defined the basis vectors do not vary over the space. Using this and the definitions of the basis vectors given above, we can show that,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \nabla &= -\partial_x \partial_x - \partial_y \partial_y - \partial_z \partial_z + (\partial_{ct} + M\partial_z)^2 \\ &= -\partial_{x'} \partial_{x'} - \partial_{y'} \partial_{y'} - \partial_{z'} \partial_{z'} + \partial_{ct'} \partial_{ct'} \\ &= -\partial_{x''} \partial_{x''} - \partial_{y''} \partial_{y''} - \partial_{z''} \partial_{z''} + \partial_{ct''} \partial_{ct''}. \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

Hence is becomes clear that,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\nabla \cdot \nabla. \tag{85}$$

Our wave equation may therefore be written,

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla p(\xi) = f(\xi), \tag{86}$$

and depending on which frame we expand $\nabla \cdot \nabla$ in, we obtain either the convected or the un-convected wave equation. It now becomes clear why the Lorentz transform does not alter the form of $\mathcal{L}$. When the Lorentz transform acts on a frame that satisfies the space-time signature (Eq. (3)), the resulting frame also satisfies this signature, hence the expansion of $\mathcal{L} = -\nabla \cdot \nabla$ is not altered. However, when a Galilean transform is used, the resulting frame is no longer orthogonal, and this is why the expansion of $\nabla \cdot \nabla$ is more complex.

## 6. Conclusions

In this paper we have derived the transformations needed to solve the convected wave equation in a way that makes clear where the Lorentz transform is used and why it is needed. The Lorentz transform is needed as part of a combined Galilean and Lorentz transform that takes us from the original frame of observation to a frame that moves with the original observer but in which the wave operator takes on a simple form. It is the Lorentz transform that is needed because the wave equation, that contains a constant $c$ that depends only on the flow, is Lorentz invariant. The frame created using the Lorentz transform does not represent anything that can be measured in a direct physical way, it is a construction used to solve the convected wave equation.

These transformations become particularly clear when viewed as frame transformations in a newly defined acoustic space-time. We have shown some aditional advantages to this interpretation of the transformation, which in particular makes clear how transformations can be used not only in in the space-time domain, but also in the frequency-wavenumber domain. This is particularly helpful in finding simple derivations of Doppler shifts. We believe that the geometrical interpretation of the transformations needed to solve the convected wave equation could put us in a position to generalise the transformation to solve more complex wave equations.

## Appendix A. The Reciprocal Frame

Here we follow [36].

Let us assume that we have a set of $n$ linearly independent vectors $\{\mathbf{e}_k\}$ that span an arbitrary $n$ dimensional space (arbitrary means that the frame can be Euclidean or hyperbolic, or indeed can have any signature). We refer to this set of $n$ vectors as our *frame*. Associated with any frame is a reciprocal frame of $n$ vectors, $\{\mathbf{e}^k\}$, defined by the property,

$$\mathbf{e}^i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j = \delta^i_j, \quad \forall i, j = 1, \ldots, n \qquad \delta^i_j = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases} . \tag{A.1}$$

*Appendix A.1. Vector Components*

The basis vectors $\{\boldsymbol{e}_k\}$ are linearly independent, so any vector $\boldsymbol{a}$ can be written uniquely in terms of this set as,

$$\boldsymbol{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a^i \boldsymbol{e}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \boldsymbol{e}^i. \tag{A.2}$$

The set of scalars $(a^1, \ldots, a^n)$ are the components of the vector $\boldsymbol{a}$ in the $\{\boldsymbol{e}_k\}$ frame. To find these components we form,

$$\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^i = a^j \boldsymbol{e}_j \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^i = a^j \delta^j_i = a^i, \tag{A.3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_i = a_j \boldsymbol{e}^j \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_i = a_j \delta^i_j = a_i. \tag{A.4}$$

This derivation of how to find components explains the use of sub- and superscripts in Eq. (A.2). Note that if the frame is orthonormal, the frame and its reciprocal are equivalent.

*Appendix A.2. Finding the Reciprocal Frame*

Given that the basis vectors $\{\boldsymbol{e}_k\}$ are linearly independant, it must be possible to decompose every reciprocal vector as,

$$\boldsymbol{e}^k = a^k_1 \boldsymbol{e}_1 + \ldots + a^k_n \boldsymbol{e}_n, \tag{A.5}$$

for some set of coefficients $a^k_i$. Given this and the requirement in Eq. (A.1), we can obtain a system of $n^2$ equations for the $n^2$ coefficients $a^k_i$. For large $n$ however, this will become rather cumbersome, and so we also present a result from geometric algebra that gives us an explicit expression for the reciprocal frame, which can be easily implemented in any symbolic algebra package.

We define the volume element for the $\{\boldsymbol{e}_k\}$ frame, $E_n$, as,

$$E_n = \boldsymbol{e}_1 \wedge \boldsymbol{e}_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \boldsymbol{e}_n. \tag{A.6}$$

Note that $E_n$ is a multiple of the pseudoscalar $I$. We can construct the reciprocal frame as,

$$\boldsymbol{e}^j = (-1)^{j-1}\boldsymbol{e}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \check{\boldsymbol{e}}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \boldsymbol{e}_n \, E_n^{-1}, \tag{A.7}$$

where the check on $\check{\boldsymbol{e}}_j$ denotes that this vector is excluded from the expression. We now justify this definition. $\boldsymbol{e}^j$ must be perpendicular to all the $\{\boldsymbol{e}_i, i \neq j\}$, and hence we form the exterior product of these $n-1$ vectors, and then project onto the vector perpendicular to this $(n-1)$ volume element by multiplying by $E_n^{-1}$. The fact that we used $E_n^{-1}$ rather than $I$ ensures the correct normalisation. To prove this more formally we make use of the property of the pseudoscalar $I$, that for any vector $\boldsymbol{a}$ and multivector $A_r$ (of grade $r$) [36],

$$\boldsymbol{a} \cdot (A_r I) = (\boldsymbol{a} \wedge A_r) I. \tag{A.8}$$

Let us define the scalar $C$ such that,

$$E_n = CI. \tag{A.9}$$

It follows that,

$$E_n^{-1} = \frac{1}{C} I^{-1}, \tag{A.10}$$

and hence we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{e}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^j &= \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{C} \boldsymbol{e}_i \cdot \left( (\boldsymbol{e}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \check{\boldsymbol{e}}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \boldsymbol{e}_n) I^{-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{C} (\boldsymbol{e}_i \wedge \boldsymbol{e}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \check{\boldsymbol{e}}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \boldsymbol{e}_n) I^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

If $i \neq j$ then this contains $\boldsymbol{e}_i \wedge \boldsymbol{e}_i$ and hence is zero. If $i = j$ we can write this as,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{e}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^j &= \frac{1}{C} (\boldsymbol{e}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \boldsymbol{e}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \boldsymbol{e}_n) I^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{C} (CI) I^{-1} = 1 \text{ as required.} \end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

## Appendix B. Spherical coordinates

- Observer coordinates $(R, \theta)$:

$$R = R'\sqrt{1 + M^2 + 2M\cos\theta'} = R''\sqrt{1 - M^2\cos^2\theta''} \tag{B.1}$$

$$\cos\theta = \frac{\cos\theta' + M}{\sqrt{1 + M^2 + 2M\cos\theta'}} = \frac{\beta\cos\theta''}{\sqrt{1 - M^2\cos^2\theta''}}, \tag{B.2}$$

$$\sin\theta = \frac{\sin\theta'}{\sqrt{1 + M^2 + 2M\cos\theta'}} = \frac{\sin\theta''}{\sqrt{1 - M^2\cos^2\theta''}}; \tag{B.3}$$

- Lorentzian coordinates $(R'', \theta'')$:

$$R'' = \frac{R}{\beta}\sqrt{1 - M^2\sin^2\theta} = \frac{R'}{\beta}(1 + M\cos\theta'), \tag{B.4}$$

$$\cos\theta'' = \frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{1 - M^2\sin^2\theta}} = \frac{M + \cos\theta'}{1 + M\cos\theta'}, \tag{B.5}$$

$$\sin\theta'' = \frac{\beta\sin\theta}{\sqrt{1 - M^2\sin^2\theta}} = \frac{\beta\sin\theta'}{1 + M\cos\theta'}; \tag{B.6}$$

- Fluid coordinates $(R', \theta')$:

$$R' = \frac{R}{\beta^2}\left(\sqrt{1 - M^2\sin^2\theta} - M\cos\theta\right) = \frac{R''}{\beta}\left(1 - M\cos\theta''\right), \tag{B.7}$$

$$\cos\theta' = \frac{\cos\theta - M\sqrt{1 - M^2\sin^2\theta}}{\sqrt{1 - M^2\sin^2\theta} - M\cos\theta} = \frac{M - \cos\theta''}{M\cos\theta'' - 1}, \tag{B.8}$$

$$\sin\theta' = \frac{\beta^2\sin\theta}{\sqrt{1 - M^2\sin^2\theta} - M\cos\theta} = \frac{\beta\sin\theta''}{1 - M\cos\theta''}. \tag{B.9}$$

It is interesting to note that the relationships involving the Lorentzian coordinates are more compact than those between the observer and fluid coordinates.

## Appendix C. Curvilinear Coordinates and Frames

Let us consider a space of dimension $n$. We introduce a set of coordinates, which consist of $n$ scalar functions $x^i(\xi)$ defined over a region of the space, where $\xi$ is a general vector in the space. Within this region we assume

that the coordinates are defined such that we can write $\xi(x^i)$, expressing the vector $\xi$ parametrically in terms of the coordinates. The frame vectors $\{\boldsymbol{e}_i\}$ are then given by,

$$\boldsymbol{e}_i = \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x^i}. \tag{C.1}$$

We can also define the reciprocal frame through,

$$\boldsymbol{e}^i = \nabla x^i, \tag{C.2}$$

which can easily be shown to satisfy the requirement on the reciprocal frame,

$$\boldsymbol{e}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^j = \boldsymbol{e}_i \cdot \nabla x^j = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x^i} = \delta_i^j. \tag{C.3}$$

## References


[1] J. A. Stratton, Electromagnetic theory, McGraw Hill, 1941.

[2] M. J. Lighthill, The bakerian lecture, 1961. sound generated aerodynamically, Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences.

[3] A. P. Dowling, J. E. F. Williams, Sound and sources of sound, Ellis Horwood Limited, 1983.

[4] Rienstra, S. W., An introduction to acoustics, unpublished book available on the author's website (2013).
URL `http://www.win.tue.nl/~sjoerdr/papers/boek.pdf`

[5] P. F. Joseph, C. Morfey, P. Nelson, Active control of source sound power radiation in uniform flow, Journal of Sound and Vibration 212 (2) (1998) 357–364.

[6] C. J. Chapman, Sound radiation from a cylindrical duct . Part 1 . Ray structure of the duct modes and of the external field, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 281 (1994) 293–311.

[7] S. Sinayoko, P. F. Joseph, A. McAlpine, Multimode radiation from an unflanged, semi-infinite circular duct with uniform flow, Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 127(4) (2010) 2159–2168.

[8] I. E. Garrick, C. E. Watkins, A theoretical study of the effect of forward speed on the free-space sound-pressure field around propellers, Tech. rep., NACA report 1198 (Jan. 1954).

[9] R. K. Amiet, High frequency thin-airfoil theory for subsonic flow, AIAA Journal 14 (1976) 1076–1082.

[10] M. Roger, S. Moreau, Back-scattering correction and further extensions of Amiet's trailing-edge noise model. Part 1: theory, Journal of Sound and Vibration 286 (3) (2005) 477–506.

[11] H. G. Kussner, General airfoil theory, Tech. Rep. NACA-TM-979, NACA, Washington, DC, USA, on the assumption of infinitely small

disturbances the author develops a generalized integral equation of airfoil theory which is applicable to any motion and compressible fluid. (Jun. 1941).

[12] J. M. R. Graham, Similarity rules for thin aerofoils in non-stationary subsonic flows, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 43 (04) (1970) 753–766.

[13] C. J. Chapman, Similarity variables for sound radiation in a uniform flow, Journal of Sound and Vibration 233 (1) (2000) 157–164.

[14] V. Blandeau, P. F. Joseph, Validity of Amiets model for propeller trailing-edge noise, AIAA Journal 49 (5) (2011) 1057–1066.

[15] S. Sinayoko, M. Kingan, A. Agarwal, Trailing edge noise theory for rotating blades in uniform flow, Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Science 469 (2157) (2013) 20130065.

[16] A. P. French, Special relativity, Taylor & Francis, 1968.

[17] A. Einstein, H. H. Minkowski, M. Saha, S. Bose, The principle of relativity; original papers, [Calcutta] The University of Calcutta, 1920.

[18] Henri Poincaré, The foundations of science: science and hypothesis, the value of science, science and method, The Science Press, 1913.

[19] W. G. Unruh, Experimental black-hole evaporation?, Physical Review Letters 46 (21) (1981) 1351–1353.

[20] M. Visser, Survey of analogue spacetimes, arXiv e-print 1206.2397, lecture Notes in Physics Volume 870 (2013) 31-50.

[21] M. Visser, Acoustic black holes: horizons, ergospheres and Hawking radiation, Classical Quantum Gravity 15 (6) (1998) 1767–1791.

[22] C. Barceló, S. Liberati, S. Sonego, M. Visser, Causal structure of analogue spacetimes, New Journal of Physics 6 (1) (2004) 186.

[23] U. Leonhardt, T. G. Philbin, Transformation optics and the geometry of light, arXiv 0805.4778, Progress in Optics 53, 69-152 (2009), electronic copies are available from the authors.

[24] U. Leonhardt, Optical conformal mapping, Science 312 (5781) (2006) 1777–1780, PMID: 16728596.

[25] S. Zhang, C. Xia, N. Fang, Broadband acoustic cloak for ultrasound waves, Physical Review Letters 106 (2) (2011) 024301.

[26] J. B. Pendry, J. Li, An acoustic metafluid: realizing a broadband acoustic cloak, New Journal of Physics 10 (11) (2008) 115032.

[27] D. Torrent, J. Snchez-Dehesa, Acoustic cloaking in two dimensions: a feasible approach, New Journal of Physics 10 (6) (2008) 063015.

[28] J. B. Pendry, D. Schurig, D. R. Smith, Controlling electromagnetic fields, Science 312 (5781) (2006) 1780–1782, PMID: 16728597.

[29] U. Leonhardt, T. G. Philbin, General relativity in electrical engineering, New Journal of Physics 8 (10) (2006) 247.

[30] H. Bateman, Döppler's principle for a windy atmosphere, Monthly Weather Review 45 (9) (1917) 441–442.

[31] T. Gerkema, L. R. M. Maas, H. van Haren, A note on the role of mean flows in doppler-shifted frequencies, Journal of Physical Oceanography 43 (2) (2013) 432–441.

[32] D. Hestenes, Oersted medal lecture 2002 : reforming the mathematical language of physics I . introduction, Oersted Medal Lect.

[33] D. Hestenes, Space-time algebra, Gordon and Breach, New York [u.a.], 1992.

[34] P. Clifford, Applications of Grassmann's extensive algebra, American Journal of Mathematics 1 (4) (1878) 350–358.

[35] H. Grassmann, Die lineale ausdehnungslhre ein neuer zweig der mathematik, Verlag von Otto Wigand, 1844.

[36] C. J. L. Doran, A. Lasenby, Geometric algebra for physicists, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[37] J. W. Arthur, Understanding geometric algebra for electromagnetic theory, John Wiley and Sons, Chicester, 2011.

[38] J. M. Chappell, A. Iqbal, D. Abbott, A simplified approach to electromagnetism using geometric algebra, arxivarXiv:1010.4947.

[39] L. Zou, J. Lasenby, Z. He, Beamforming with distortionless copolarisation for conformal arrays based on geometric algebra, IET Radar, Sonar Navigation 5 (8) (2011) 842–853.

[40] D. S. Jones, Acoustic and electromagnetic waves, Clarendon Press ; Oxford University Press, Oxford; New York, 1986.

[41] J. Carcione, F. Cavallini, On the acoustic-electromagnetic analogy, Wave motion.

[42] L. Dorst, C. J. L. Doran, J. Lasenby, Applications of geometric algebra in computer science and engineering, Springer, 2002.

[43] F. A. McRobie, J. Lasenby, SimoVu quoc rods using clifford algebra, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 45 (4) (1999) 377–398.

[44] M. E. Goldstein, Aeroacoustics, McGraw-Hill International Book Company, 1976.

[45] H. Galbrun, Propagation d'une onde sonore dans l'atmosphére et théorie des zones de silence, Gauthier-Villars et Cie, Paris, 1931.

[46] B. Poirée, Petites perturbations d'un écoulement tournant, Acta Acustica united with Acustica 59 (2) (1985) 85–94.

[47] J. P. Brazier, Derivation of an exact energy balance for Galbrun equation in linear acoustics, Journal of Sound and Vibration 330 (12) (2011) 2848–2868.

[48] S. E. Perez Bergliaffa, K. Hibberd, M. Stone, M. Visser, Wave equation for sound in fluids with vorticity, Physica D Nonlinear Phenomina 191 (1-2) (2004) 121–136.

[49] A. D. Pierce, Wave Equation for sound in fluids with unsteady inhomogenous flow, Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 87 (6) (1990) 2292–2299.

[50] M. S. Howe, Theory of vortex sound, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[51] M. Howe, Acoustics of fluid-structure interactions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge [etc.], 1998.

[52] K. Taylor, A transformation of the acoustic equation with implications for wind-tunnel and low-speed flight tests, Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 363 (1713) (1978) 271–281.

[53] A. Agarwal, A. P. Dowling, Low-frequency acoustic shielding by the silent aircraft airframe, AIAA Journal 45 (2) (2007) 358–365.

[54] A. Agarwal, A. P. Dowling, H.-C. Shin, W. Graham, S. Sefi, Ray tracing approach to calculate acoustic sheilding by a flying wing airframe, AIAA Journal 45 (5) (2007) 1080–1090.

[55] R. Astley, J. Bain, A three-dimensional boundary element scheme for acoustic radiation in low mach number flows, Journal of Sound and Vibration 109 (3) (1986) 445–465.

[56] A. D. Pierce, Acoustics, An Introduction to its Physical Principals and Applications, The Acoustical Society of America, 1981.

[57] R. Martinez, S. E. Widnallt, Unified aerodynamic-acoustic theory for a thin rectangular wing encountering a gust, AIAA Journal 18 (6) (1980) 636–645.

[58] R. Martinez, S. E. Widnallt, An aeroacoustic model for high-speed , unsteady blade-vortex interaction, AIAA Journal 21 (9) (1983) 1225–1231.

[59] A. P. Dowling, J. E. Efowcs Williams, Sound and sources of sound, E. Horwood ; Halsted Press, Chichester; New York, 1983.

[60] D. B. Hanson, Sound from a propeller at angle of attack: a new theoretical viewpoint, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences 449 (1936) (1995) 315–328.

[61] D. G. Crighton, A. P. Dowling, J. E. Ffowcs Williams, M. Heckl, F. G. Leppington, Modern methods in analytical acoustics, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[62] P. M. Morse, H. Feshback, Methods in theoretical physics: part II: chapters 9 to 13, McGraw-Hill, New York, London, Toronto, 1953.

[63] Y. Rozenberg, M. Roger, S. Moreau, Rotating blade trailing-edge noise: experimental validation of analytical model, AIAA Journal 48 (5) (2010) 951–962.

[64] J. Lasenby, A. Lasenby, C. J. L. Doran, A unified mathematical language for physics and engineering in the 21st century, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A 358 (2000) 21–39.

[65] C. Cibura, D. Hildenbrand, Geometric algebra approach to fluid dynamics, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität Darmstadt (2008).